November 10, 1954

George F. Welson, Msg., Assistant Attorney General Attorney General

Mr. Winfield Phillins, Bank Commissioner

Dear Mr. Phillips:

In reply to your letter of November 9 regarding what interest may be charged on conditional sales contracts, notes and open accounts which become past due, I advise as follows:

The subject of rates of interest is covered in Revised Laws, c. 367, s. 1, which provides,

"In rendering judgments, and in all business transactions where interest is paid or secured, it shall be computed and paid at the rate of aim dollars on a hundred dollars for one year, unless a different rate is expressly stipulated in writing."

Prior to the enactment of c. 121 of the Laws of 1921, the statute read the same except that the word "lower" was in the low rather than the word "different", so that 6% was the limit, effor which such charges were usurious. It will be noted that c. 121 of the Laws of 1921 excepted c. 223 of the Laws of 1917, which is our small loans statute of today (R.L. c. 319, which by s. 15 thereof permits interest at a rate not to exceed 2% per month).

R.L. c. 395. s. 1, and R.L. c. 399. s. 10. provide for interest on judgments and executions in civil actions and they adopt the 65 legal rate. One other exception scale to my mind: R.L. c. 315. s. 16. as amended by Laws of 1951. c. 22. s. 1. permits credit unions to lose to their members and charge them not in excess of 15 per month.

Accordingly, while it is true that there is no specific statutory designation of the rate above which interest becomes usurious when expressly stimulated in writing by the parties, it does appear that interest in excess of 20 per menta -- the maximum allowed on small loans -- would be regarded as unconsciouable, and business practice and custom has generally adopted the 60 rate, conceeding it, however, in cases where the entire interest sum is charged

NEW HAMPSHIRE LAW LIBRARY

SEP 2 2 1998

CONCORD, N.H.

November 10, 1954 Attorney Constal Gerad F. Nelson Winfield Phillips - 2 on an obligation even though the obligation may be substantially naid back in installments during the time for which interest has been charged. In the absence of a designation of interest in a contract, note or open account which becomes past due, no interest would run before the formal demand for payment of the same and it would still be discretionary with the court whether to permit interest at 65 to run from the date of the writ in an action brought to recover the sum, or to permit interest only from date of verdict or judgment. Very truly yours, Goorge F. Nelson Assistant Attorney General GFN: HP